AGENDA

Quorum met. Meeting began at 9:03 AM with Rachel going over the agenda and asking for comment/adjustments to meeting agenda.

Agenda Items:

1. Coast Parking

Approve Agenda
- Anupam motion to approve agenda
  - Gabriel Seconded

SIO & Coast Update

COMMENT: Jana clarifies that the only update since the last meeting about the Coast Parking is that SIO will proceed with removing ten spaces from the original agreement offered by SIO after hearing input from GFHAC Advisory. In addition, reassured that the ten parking spaces that have been offered are open back up to Coast residents on the following day and the request for removing this project entirely is in the process.

QUESTION: Kyle asked for more clarification on when the ten spaces would be released back to Coast residents, as it currently still looks like the spaces have not been released back to Coast residents and where the exact ten spaces will be.
- Jana clarified that the SIO facilities team (Dennis Brand) has removed the spaces to be released back to Coast residents and Jana does not have information as to which spaces were returned.
- If there is any signage that looks like there needs to be a removal in those ten spaces areas to let Chris Dayss know.
- Chris clarified to Kyle that if he still sees that there are sign still up to email him and Chris will work on getting those remove by the Housing staff.
COMMENT: Gabriel clarifies that the document contains some facts with history of how this agreement came to fruition as well as information that residents received from HDH and from SIO regarding the agreement. In addition, there is a series of grievances and problems that residents were experiencing as well as having discussion with residents to resolve this agreement with SIO is terminated. To ensure that all parking at Coast is restored to the Coast residents. The intentions of this meeting to vote on these items. The most appropriate way to approve these clauses as a slate as well as vote for the resolution individually with changes as needed.

COMMENT: Rachel commented that it would be inappropriate to make any changes to the any of the resolutions meaning in real time for time purposes.

QUESTION: Anupam asked what happens to the $40,000 regarding which is being given back to the resident for these spaces and how long was the project anticipated for?
   - Jana clarifies the $40,000 would need to be further recalculated but as of right now residents would be credited back the cost. In addition, SIO would pay for the spaces they have been used and the for the duration being used. The project was anticipated for 18 months.

COMMENT: Jana clarified that Patrick was asked to be in this meeting. However, he was not able to attend, and Rachel can attest to this as well in Patrick inability to be at the meeting this morning.

COMMENT: Adriana commented that she endorses in having an exit strategy to the agreement with SIO and/or an exit timeline. In addition, does not know what a reasonable timeline would look like as a non-resident at Coast.

COMMENT: Matthew commented that although he does not reside in Coast that an appropriate timeline would be immediately as releasing two more space and however long needed after that.

COMMENT: Kyle commented that with the $40,000 reimbursement to residents that it would on be $15 dollars per month. Many of the Coast resident were shocked with the hearing the dollar amount that would be given back to them.

COMMENT: Chris clarified that the dollar amount and reimbursement information was provided within the survey that was given out to Coast residents.

QUESTION: Anupam asked was the preliminary survey that was given out to the Coast residents by HDH or SIO?
   - Jana clarifies that it was given by both HDH and SIO.

QUESTION/COMMENT: Gabriel and Anupam asked Kyle as a Coast resident to review the clauses 9-11 for any suggestions on what should remain and or be removed to keep what is necessary to support what is being asked.
   - Kyle commented that the three clauses are all important; however, it can be removed from the document if needed.

COMMENT: Jana request an edit the sixth clause to state that the presentation was given from SIO and not HDH.

Gabriel motion to adopt the first four clauses to be approved.
   - Adriana seconded
   - No objections
Anupam motion to remove the quotes from October 2019 in the sixth clause and amend the clause to state the meeting minutes to refer too.
- Adriana seconded
- No objections

Gabriel motion to approve clauses five through six and remove seven
- Adriana seconded
- No objections

Anupam motion to remove the eighth clause due to redundancy
- Adriana seconded
- Gabriel object due to emphasizing that GFHAC was giving information that was pre COVID-19
- Jana commented that she does not see anything stating in these clauses about COVID-19.
- Gabriel rescinded his objections.

Anupam motion to reinstate the fifth clause to mention the COVID-19 text
- Gabriel seconded
- No objections

Anupam motion to remove the clauses nine through eleven
- Adriana seconded
- Approve: 6 Denied: 0 Abstained: 0

Gabriel motion to be approved clauses 12 through 13 as a slate
- Adriana seconded
- No objections

Gabriel motion to amend the first “BE IT RESOLVED” to state that GFHAC requests that the aforementioned agreement between HDH and SIO be terminated such that 2 additional spots are restored to the Coast community immediately and the remainder are restored by October 1, 2020 in order to restore safe, secure parking to all Coast residents
- Matthew seconded
- No objections

Anupam motion to remove the last “BE IT RESOLVED” clause.
- Matthew seconded
- No objections

**Final remarks:**
- Resolution Re Coast Parking will be submitted and be sent to Jana Severson as well as be shared with Hemlata and the Vice Chancellor at SIO.

Meeting adjourned around 9:49 AM.