AGENDA

Quorum met. Meeting began at 1:03 PM with Rachel going over the agenda and asking for comment/adjustments to meeting agenda.

Agenda Items:

1. Meeting Minutes Approval
2. Appeals Review
3. Coast Parking Discussion
4. GFH Food Pantry
5. Open session

Comment:

- Rachel motion to vote for approval of the agenda
  - Adriana motion to approve
  - Marybeth seconded the motion
- Gabriel and Adriana motion to accept/approve the votes pursuant to the bylaws that have been met on the online appeals system.
  - Hana and Marybeth seconded the motion.

Meeting Minutes Approval

- Marybeth motion to vote to approve previous meeting minutes
  - Failure to have a seconded motion
- Motion fall to the floor; 2020.7.19 meeting minutes not approved.

Appeals

Appeal # 3862

- Marybeth motioned to vote.
  - Adriana seconded the motion.
    - Approve: 8, Denied: 0, Abstained: 0
• Decision: ARCHAC Approved

Appeal # 3875
• Marybeth motioned to deny appeal.
  o Sven and Hana seconded the motion.
  • Approve: 6, Denied: 2, Abstained: 0
  • Decision: ARCHAC Denied

Appeal # 3884
• Marybeth motioned to vote.
  o Viona seconded the motion.
  • Approve: 8, Denied: 0, Abstained: 0
  • Decision: ARCHAC Approved

Appeal # 3890
• Marybeth motioned to vote to approve.
  o Gabriel seconded the motion.
  o Sven objects due to the longevity of the waitlist for not only Grad and Family Housing as well as that the current residents are not the only students in need of housing.
  o Comment: Marybeth reiterates that her decision to motion to approve was from past voting COVID precedents.
  o Comment: Chris clarifies to the GFHAC committee that this appellant had put in an appeal back in May but received extra time in housing internally and that all appeals that are needed to be forwarded to the committee are forwarded. In addition, residents are moving in and out of Graduate and Family Housing at this time without any problems.
  o Comment: Burgundy clarified when she was voting member that she was advocating to avoid any type of move during this COVID-19 time. In addition, residents relocating to the new housing works for an extension during this time benefits housing but not for the students.
  o Comment: Marybeth reiterates that she wants to approve because it is COVID-19 related.
  o Marybeth calls to question to vote for appeal approval.
    • Approve: 6, Denied: 2, Abstained: 0
    • Decision: ARCHAC Approved

Appeal # 3908
• Marybeth motioned to vote.
  o Adriana seconded the motion.
    • Approve: 8, Denied: 0, Abstained: 0
    • Decision: ARCHAC Approved

Appeal # 3910
• Marybeth motioned to vote.
  o Adriana seconded the motion.
    • Approve: 8, Denied: 0, Abstained: 0
    • Decision: ARCHAC Approved

Coast Parking Discussion:
- Chris reiterates processes that SIO attended a GFHAC meeting to discuss getting 24 spaces at Coast. GFH negotiated with SIO to get $40,000. The construction has already began on June 24, 2020, there were a couple of complaint at the beginning but the complaints have decrease as residents are understanding that they can park in that spot after. GFH surveyed the community about how they would like the $40,000 to be allocated and the community with a total of 75 responses, 43 that responded would like a rent credit. GFH had also offered
enhanced amenities such as outdoor areas and changes to the community. However, rent credit was highly favored.

- Question: Sven asked when the rent credit that is offered will be applied and how many spots should there be?
  o Chris clarifies that the rent credit will be applied starting in October that will be the first 3 months period. Chris can provide the number at a later time due to not knowing at the top of the head.

- Question: Burgundy asked if it is a good idea to re-survey the community as the decision was previously decided before COVID-19 happened as not resident are close to 100% of the time being at home, what is the rent credit, is it spread out amongst all the residents and are the tenant expected to park in the street?
  o Chris clarifies that the rent credit will be applied quarterly and that GFH did not survey the community on whether they agreed or not but what they wanted to do with the 40,000$.

- Question: Burgundy asked if Coast is currently at a 100% occupancy with the COVID-19 impact.
  o Chris responds that there is a still high occupancy at Coast.

- Question: Sven asked how the rent credit is computed.
  o Chris clarifies that based on the survey that given to the community for over the 18 month period that the parking will be used would be about 58$ every quarter. Based on the primary resident over the total number of parking spaces.

GFH Food Pantry:
- Chris explains that the triton food bank has a Graduate and Family housing satellite location which is located at the One Miramar Building 5 lounge. Students are able to pick up their one time a week including the other location by signing up online. Rachel clarifies that you can only visit either pantry once a week. The hours are Monday 10-1pm, Tuesday 11-3pm, Wednesday 2-5pm, Thursday closed and Friday 2-5pm. Also, the food Recovery Network is offered out of the same space on Monday 2-4pm and Thursday 2-5pm.

- Question: Hana asked if the second pantry location only available to residents and graduate students or is it only available to everyone including the residents and graduate students?
  o Rachel clarifies that it is funded by the triton food pantry themselves and because of that funding model it is a resource for all students but it is very centrally located for graduate students in HDH. In addition, there is no restrictions on who can come.

- Question: Marybeth asked Rachel if there is something that Rachel can provide that Marybeth can send out to her students
  o Rachel said that she can send something over and Jana commented that they had a flyer for it go out to all resident.

Open Session:
- Jana provided the hypothetical numbers of generated revenue if residents were to have been occupying the space per Sven’s request in previous GFHAC meeting. The revenue amount would have equated to $319,820 for the same duration and at the expected rent cost. Jana clarified that HDH received a monthly $300,000 from the County for occupying the space.

- Question: Sven asked what the amount would be of the resources that were not used by the county.
  o Jana responds at this time she does not have the number off the top of her head. However, County did not utilize any of HDH’s staffing, HDH did not furnish, etc.

- Question: Jana asked Sven to further clarify the context reasoning behind these hypothetical questions for better understanding and to encourage practical conversations.
  o Sven clarifies that he asked these questions in the basis of fairness as county should not pay less than what resident were to have paid if they had been occupying the space.
  o Jana clarifies that the County offset $900K that would have hit the bottom line during a time when students would not have been living in the building. In addition, not all 3,000 students who are currently waiting on the waitlist have preferences to reside in Nuevo West/ East nor at that time.

- Comment: Gabriel commented that there should not be any limitation for obtaining information with conditioning the questions that are being asked in these meeting. Having conditioning questions reflects a lack of transparency.
- Comment: Malia clarifies that within housing alone many questions are being asked and the intentions behind asking the reason for a specific questions is to better serve and provide better information to requestor.
- Comment: Chris added that residents weren’t planned to start occupying the space until July 1. However, the contractor was requested to expedite delivery of this building for use by the County in response to the pandemic.
- Chris mentions that newly updated GFH website has been updated. The updated URL address is hdhgradfamilyhousing.ucsd.edu. In addition, the approved GFHAC meeting minutes is located under Current Resident Resources.
- Comment: Hana commented that it would be beneficial if there could be a notification once the minutes are available in the Box for review.
  o Rachel responded that as a Committee member that just like appeals we are expected to view the box for any minutes for review before any meeting but will implement a notification at least 24 hours prior to the next GFHAC meeting.

Meeting adjourned around 2:03 PM.